

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	: Gilbert Wolrich et al.	Art Unit	: 2183
Serial No.	: 10/070,091	Examiner	: Daniel H. Pan
Filed	: June 28, 2002	Conf. No.	: 7309
Title	: REGISTER SET USED IN MULTITHREADED PARALLEL PROCESSOR ARCHITECTURE		

**Mail Stop Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY IN REPLY TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF  
DATED DECEMBER 27, 2007

On Friday, January 25, 2008 the undersigned and Examiner Pan conducted an examiner's interview regarding the examiner's contention that Applicant's appeal brief did not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter of each of the independent claims.

The examiner's position was that the explanation was not "concise."

The undersigned's position was that the Appeal Brief did contain a concise explanation as required by 37 C.F.R. 41.37(a) (v), which is reproduced below:

*(v)Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

The undersigned pointed out that the Brief contained the text of each independent claim, broken down into specific features which were accompanied by excerpts from the specification that pertained to those features and images of selected ones of Applicant's figures. The undersigned contended that this constituted a concise explanation that would assist the Board in understanding the subject matter of the claims in a manner that was convenient for the Board.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING**

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS-WEB system on this date: January 25, 2008

Applicant : Gilbert Wolrich et al.  
Serial No. : 10/070,091  
Filed : June 28, 2002  
Page : 2 of 2

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-310US1 / P9631US

The examiner argued that what was required were simple sentences that characterized the subject matter of the claims. The undersigned was willing to furnish this sentences if the examiner was able to point out where in 37 C.F. R. or the M.P.E.P. the office required or indeed permitted Applicant to furnish such sentences that characterized the subject matter of the claims.

It was agreed by the examiner and the undersigned that the examiner would remove the notice of non-compliance and allow the appeal to proceed. The paper is therefore fully responsive to the outstanding Notice of Non-Compliance.

No fee is due. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 25, 2008

/Denis G. Maloney/  
Denis G. Maloney  
Reg. No. 29,670

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906